# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND NORTHERN DIVISION

)
)
) CIVIL NO. 1:11-cv-1710
)
) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

# **COMPLAINT**

This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices
 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (the "FDCPA").

## **JURISDICTION**

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).
- Venue is proper in this District because the acts and transactions occurred here,
   Plaintiff resides here, and Defendant transacts business here.

#### **PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff, Jose Martinez, is a natural person who resides in the City of Annapolis, State of Maryland, and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

5. Defendant, Capital Management Services, LP, (hereinafter "Defendant") is a collection agency operating from an address of 726 Exchange Street, Suite 700, Buffalo, NY 14210, and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

## **FACTS**

- 6. On or about May 2006, Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation that was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and is therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5), specifically a credit card with Macys.
- 7. On August 20, 2010, Plaintiff filed a voluntary bankruptcy petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Maryland, case number 10-29130.
- 8. The Credit Card for Macy's was listed as a general unsecured debt on Schedule F of the Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition.
- 9. Macy's was properly noticed by the Bankruptcy Court of the Plaintiff's bankruptcy case and subsequent discharge. *See Exhibit 1*.
- 10. Sometime thereafter, the alleged debt was consigned, placed or otherwise transferred to Defendant for collection from the Plaintiff.
- 11. On or about February 24, 2011, Defendant sent a dunning collection letter to Plaintiff which is a "communication" in an attempt to collect a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2). *See Exhibit 2*.
- 12. Exhibit 2 violates the FDCPA because it is an attempt to collect on a debt discharged in the Plaintiff's bankruptcy.

13. Specifically, exhibit 2 violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2) because it misstates the character, amount, or legal status of the alleged debt as the debt has been discharged in bankruptcy.

# **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### **COUNT I.**

# VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 15. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendant constitute a violation of the FDCPA, specifically 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2).
- 16. As a result of the Defendant's violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is therefore entitled to statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692k(a)(2)(A) and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692k(a)(3) from the Defendant herein.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

- 17. WHEREFORE, to redress the injuries caused by Defendant's conduct as stated in Paragraphs 1-16 above, Plaintiff hereby requests the following relief:
  - for an award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
     \$1692k(a)(2)(A) against the Defendant;
  - for an award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15
     U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) against the Defendant;
  - for such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

# **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all claims so triable.

Dated: June 22, 2011 Respectfully submitted,

# LAWRENCE & FISHER PLLC

/s/ Morgan W. Fisher Morgan W. Fisher, Esq.. Attorney I.D.#28711 155 Duke of Gloucester St. Annapolis, MD 21401 Telephone: (410) 626-6111 Facsimile: (866) 393-4828 mwf@lawrence-fisher.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff